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Abstract

Objective To analyze the effect of age on the ECG QT interval, an important predictor of cardiovascular mortality and drug-induced cardiac arrhythmias, and determine whether QT-heart rate correction formulae (QTc) have differential relationships with age and sex.

Methods Data were examined from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) II and III, civilian population aged 25 to 90 years. QT weighted means and standard deviations were calculated for all ages. The QTc were evaluated for six QTc: proposed by Bazett (QTcBZT), Fridericia (QTcFRD), Hodges (QTcHDG), Dmitrienko (QTcDMT), Rautaharju (QTcRTHa) and Framingham (QTcFRM).

Results QTc was strongly related to age and gender, for all formulae except for QTcBZT for women. The relationship between QTc and age was significant regardless of whether the relationship was approximated by a linear or non-linear (quadratic or cubic spline) model. QTc increased more dramatically with age in men. There was a significant (P < 0.001) positive relationship between QTc variance and age for each QTc formula for both men and women. There were a greater proportion of individuals with longer QTc with older ages especially age 80 years and above.

Conclusion QTc and its variance increase with age. Prolonged QTc is more prevalent in older individuals, especially men.
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1 Introduction

Measurement of the QT interval on standard ECG is in widespread clinical use because of its established value in identifying a range of clinical conditions from electrolyte abnormalities to drug-induced cardiac toxicity to inherited channelopathies.[1–3] Notwithstanding the recognized issues with regards to QT measurement and individual QT variability,[4] the duration of the QT interval also predict the development of cardiac arrhythmias and cardiac sudden death.[5]

Studies that defined the normal duration of the QT interval began many years ago and were conducted mainly on populations of young or middle aged individuals.[6–9] The aging population in most countries raises the question whether these previous studies, had sufficient representation of all ages, particularly older individuals. Some investigators have supported the contention that QT interval is influenced by the person’s age.[8–14] In contrast, other investigators have reported no association between age and QT-heart rate correction formulae (QTc).[15–17] While other investigators contend that the magnitude of the impact of age on the QT interval is too small to be meaningful.[18]

An essential first step in the assessment of the QT interval is the process of adjustment of the QT interval for the intrinsic heart rate because of the well-known inverse association between QT interval and heart rate. A plethora of formulae have been suggested to accomplish this goal and to provide the appropriate QTc.[19] QT-heart rate adjustment formulae were initially developed from small patient sample sizes.[20–22] Recently, newer formulae have been proposed based on large population samples.[12,13,23] The majority of ECGs from large studies were of baseline ECGs from individuals prior to drug testing.[12,23]

It is important to know whether age should be a consideration in the assessment of the QT interval and whether the QT formulae themselves result in different relationships between age and QT interval. The objectives of this investigation were to examine the relationship between age and corrected QT interval in a population based sample and spe-
cifically to compare the strength of this association for the older QTc formulae in widespread clinical usage with the more recently developed QTc. We selected newer statistical modeling tools to examine these relationships. We further sought to examine the proportion of the population with ‘frequently labeled’ prolonged QTc and determine whether it is different in older compared to younger persons.

2 Methods

The US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) II and III studies, conducted by the Center for Disease Control (CDC) of the US, were chosen to evaluate the QT interval in different age groups. These studies were selected because each NHANES survey was conducted on a representative sample of the civilian US population and importantly weighing factors are available, meaning that the results are more representative than random sample or volunteers in a drug trial. Standard 12-lead resting ECG recordings were performed with signals sampled at 250 samples per second per channel and a representative P-QRS-T cycle was derived by selective averaging.

Heart rate and QT intervals were digitally calculated using the Novacode ECG program. NHANES II and III data were downloaded from the CDC.

They were imported and processed in Microsoft Excel 2013 (Redmond, WA, USA). The possibility that the same individuals were selected for both studies was considered but deemed not significant because of the randomness of the participant selection process. The data from NHANES II and III were pooled to create one large data set. Then exclusion criteria were applied to exclude factors from the ECG that are known to affect the duration of the QT interval. The definition of all ECG abnormalities can be found in the NHANES documentation. Briefly, the exclusion criteria included: (1) subjects without valid QT interval duration or heart rate data; (2) subjects with probable myocardial infarction (MI) and possible MI; subjects with major ECG abnormalities; (3) subjects with rhythm not being sinus; (4) subjects with probable left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH); (5) Subjects with Minnesota code 7.1 and 7.2 were excluded because this represented left bundle branch block or right bundle branch block respectively.

Six different QTc were evaluated either because of their long term usage or their recent introduction from large population studies. The formulae include the equations proposed by (i) Bazett (QTcBZT) (QTcBZT = QT/(RR)-1/2; (ii) Fridericia (QTcFRD) (QTcFRD = QT/(RR)-1/3; (iii) Hodges (QTcHDG) (QTcHDG = QT + 105(1/RR – 1); (iv) Framingham (QTcFRM) (QTcFRM = QT + 0.154(1000 – RR); (v) Dmitrienko, et al. (QTcDMT) (QTcDMT = QT/(RR)-0.413; and (vi) Rautaharju, et al. (QTcRTHa) (QTcRTHa = QT(120 + HR)/180) which were identified by a proposed standardized nomenclature. Two formulae were described in Rautaharju, et al. but only QTcRTHa was included because QTcRTHb already had a correction factor for sex and might have not been comparable to the other formulae.

All data analysis was performed using R statistical software [R Core Team (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/]. As per the CDC instructions, sample weights were incorporated into summary statistics (means and standard deviations) in order to obtain unbiased estimates for US population parameters. These individual weights are provided by the CDC and are intended to account for non-response, over-sampling, post-stratification and sampling error for individuals with similar demographic profile. In some analyses, individuals were combined to age-groups, formed in 5-year increments (25 to < 30, 30 to < 35, 35 to < 40 years, etc.); all plots utilize the median of the group (i.e.: 27.5 is plotted for the ≥ 25 to < 30 group). Because the maximum age in the data set is 90, those individuals were included with the 85 to 90 year age group so that a 90–94 group did not contain only 90 year olds.

Relationships between QTc and age were modeled using least-squares regression fits to a linear, quadratic, and spline functions of age. Flexible cubic b-splines with two knots placed at the first and third quantiles were used. A spline was chosen rather than a cubic polynomial to improve performance in the fit at minimum and maximum ages; polynomial regression tends to fit poorly in areas of low curvature near boundaries. A cubic spline was chosen when higher order splines (up to 5-degree with 3 knots) did not provide significant improvement in fit (i.e., the $R^2$ values did not increase) and therefore are not shown.

All regression models were fit to the weighted mean at each age and separately for men and women and themselves were weighted to the sample size at each age. Goodness-of-fit was assessed using an adjusted-$R^2$, to account for model complexity. Comparisons to the null model and between models (Linear vs. Quadratic and Linear vs. Cubic Spline) were performed using an $F$-test of the residual sum-of-squares. Proportions of individuals with prolonged QTc were estimated using normal distribution theory based on the predicted conditional mean and standard deviation for each age group based on the cubic b-spline model.

3 Results

The total utilized sample size was 6173 men and 7454
women. The proportion of men and women over QTc duration threshold for various threshold values for each QTc formula and for age categories are provided in Figure S1 (data online). QTc was significantly shorter for men compared to women for all formulae (all \( P < 0.001 \), Figure 1). The average difference between sexes in QTc in order of magnitude were QTcBZT [12.6 ms (95% CI: 11.5–13.7 ms)] followed by QTcDMT [10.7 ms (95% CI: 9.8, 11.6)], QTcRTHa [9.5 ms (95% CI: 8.6, 10.4)], QTcFRD [9.0 ms (95% CI: 8.1, 9.8)], QTc FRM [8.8 ms (95% CI: 8.0, 9.7)] and QTc HDG [7.7 ms (95% CI: 6.8, 8.5)]. The difference between men and women decreased with age; the difference between men and women was significantly smaller for those over 50 compared to those aged 50 and under (\( P < 0.01 \) for all formulae).

Figure 1. Weighted means and 95% CIs for all ages, for each QTc formula for both men and women. QTc: QT-heart rate correction formulae; QTcBZT: QTc proposed by Bazett; QTcDMT: QTc proposed by Dmitrienko; QTcFRD: proposed by Fridericia; QTcFRM: QTc proposed by Framingham; QTcHDG: QTc proposed by Hodges; QTcRTHa: QTc proposed by Rautaharju.
The linear, quadratic and cubic spline model fits are displayed in Figure 2 with model significance and goodness-of-fit statistics in Table 1. Among men, all formulae had significant linear, quadratic and cubic relationships between QTc and age (all $P < 0.012$). Adjusted-$R^2$ for the quadratic model were not significantly higher than the linear model for any of the formulae (all $P > 0.23$), while the cubic b-spline had significant increases in adjusted-$R^2$ compared to linear (all $P < 0.05$) for all formulae except for QTcHDG ($P = 0.09$). In addition to the significant sigmoid curvature, all formulae exhibited a significant upward trend in QTc with age (all $P < 0.001$).

![Figure 2. Weighted means and 95% CI for age groups for both men and women with the fits of three models overlaid. QTc: QT-heart rate correction formulae; QTcBZT: QTc proposed by Bazett; QTcDMT: QTc proposed by Dmitrienko; QTcFRD: proposed by Fridericia; QTcFRM: QTc proposed by Framingham; QTcHDG: QTc proposed by Hodges; QTcRTHa: QTc proposed by Rautaharju.](http://www.jgc301.com; jgc@mail.sciencep.com | Journal of Geriatric Cardiology)
Table 1. The complexity-adjusted (adjusted-$R^2$) goodness-of-fit for each QTc formulae considering a linear, quadratic and cubic spline models of the relationship between weighted-mean of QTc and age-group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Linear</th>
<th>Quadratic</th>
<th>Cubic-Spline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QTcBZT</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTcDMT</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTcFRD</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTcFRM</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTcHDG</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTcRTHa</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among women, there was greater diversity in the QTc-age relationship among the formulae. The Bazett formula did not show any linear, quadratic or cubic relationship between QTc and age (all $P > 0.12$). QTcDMT showed strong linear and quadratic relationships ($P = 0.005$ and $P = 0.023$, respectively), but the sigmoid curve pattern (i.e., cubic model) was not significant ($P = 0.078$). The remaining formulae, QTcFRD, QTcHDG, QTcFRM and QTcRTHa, showed significant linear, quadratic and cubic relationships (all $P < 0.03$). Similar to men, the adjusted-$R^2$ for the quadratic model was not superior to the linear model ($P > 0.61$ for all formulae). However, unlike the men, the cubic spline model also did not show any improvement in adjusted-$R^2$ compared to the linear model ($P > 0.07$ for all formulae). Also similar to men, there was a significant upward trend for QTc with age ($P < 0.005$), but the slope of this increase was lower (Figure 2).

The coefficient of variation, reflecting relative dispersion around the mean, increased with age (Figure 3) for all QTc formulae and for both sexes ($P < 0.01$ for all formulae).

Figure 3. Coefficient of variation (weighted SD/weighted mean) for men and women for each QTc formula according to age group with linear fits overlaid. QTcBZT: QTc proposed by Bazett; QTcDMT: QTc proposed by Dmitrienko; QTcFRD: proposed by Fridericia; QTcFRM: QTc proposed by Framingham; QTcHDG: QTc proposed by Hodges; QTcRTHa: QTc proposed by Rautaharju.
This indicates that even when standardizing by QTc value, there is greater dispersion, or variance, around the mean for older compared to younger individuals.

Combining the increasing mean QTc and increasing variance with age, the proportion of individuals with values above a QT prolongation threshold (considering QTc of 450 ms for men and 470 ms for women) increased substantially for both genders (Figure 4). For younger people (≤ 50 years of age) the proportion with longer QTc decreases rapidly to a small fraction of the population. In contrast, the proportion with longer QTc remains a substantial proportion in the oldest age group. The proportions of individuals above threshold were greater in men than in women, although the differences in absolute values need to be recognized. Indeed, there is a compounding effect of increasing mean and variance with age on the proportion of persons at higher QT levels. The relationship among the conversion functions displayed in Figure 4 holds for thresholds above and below the 450/470 ms standards.

4 Discussion

This study is the first to apply rigorous analytical methods to compare six QTc formulae focusing on the changes with age in a population based sample. An increase in QTc with age was observed and suggests that older individuals have a greater QTc which potentially makes them more vulnerable to situations and medications that prolong QTc. There were some differences in the strength of the association depending on the QT formula used and the most commonly used one in clinical practice—QTcBZT showed the greatest difference from the rest.

Our analysis of a sample of the US population—NHANES, showed a significant association between QTc and age in both sexes for all QTc formulae except for QTcBZT for women. The impact of age was evident regardless of whether one considered a linear or non-linear relationship between QTc and age. Indeed, the complexity-adjusted goodness-of-fit analysis found a relatively strong relationship between age and QTc regardless of whether one considers linear, quadratic or cubic spline models of the relationship between QTc and age. The consistent strong relationship between age and QTc with all QTc formulae, other than QTcBZT in women, suggest that QTcBZT is the outlier.

QTc increases with age maybe due to a combination of factors. Aging processes may affect the molecular determinants of the QT interval or alter the myocardium with increased
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myocardial fibrosis. Aging is also associated with alterations in the amount of sympathetic and parasympathetic tone which can alter myocardial repolarization and the duration of the QTc.

The QTc formulae, that were evaluated, are not encompassing of all QTc formulae, proposed for heart rate correction, but rather they are a combination of frequently used older formulae, based on small clinical patient groups and more recent proposed ones based on large populations. 

Our study provide a potential explanation for reports of the absence an association between age and QTc in some studies. These negative studies used the Bazett formula. We found that QTcBZT showed the poorest correlation between age and QTc compared to all the other formulae. One study reported the absence of an effect of age used QTcBZT in women. In our comparative analysis, the poorest correlation of QT with age was for QTcBZT in women.

The standard deviation of the QTc formulae increased with age. This observation reinforces the need to be mindful of the QTc at older age groups as there is a greater likelihood of individuals with prolonged QTc at older ages.

The increase in QTc with age means that drugs which induce QT prolongation may more readily reach potentially ‘dangerous’ QTc durations in an older compared to a younger person. An FDA statement is relevant specifying dangerous QTc durations in an older compared to a younger person. In men, QT interval correlates with testosterone levels. Of note, QT interval is longer in castrated men and shorter in women with virilisation. The decline in testosterone levels with age may account for the increase in QTc with age. Hormonal changes, however, are not the entire explanation for the QTc differences between sexes.

Our evaluation found that the correlation between age and QTc was greater in men than in women. This finding is due to the greater prolongation of the QTc observed in older men compared to younger men. The QTc is greater in women than men at younger ages but the difference in QTc between the sexes diminishes at the older age groups, which means the increase in QTc with age is not parallel. The decline in variability in men 80 years of age and older may have several explanations. We can only speculate on them but the explanations include intrinsic biological properties of cardiac ion channels that in men or that survivorship in men is worse than women so that men providing data may represent a healthier sub-cohort of the population.

There has been no clear gold standard for choosing the single equation to correct the QT interval and to compare with age. For men, the rank order of the formulae in descending order, starting from the one with the steepest slope of the relationship with age was QTcHDG, QTcFRD, QTcRTha, QTcFRM, QTcDMT and QTcBZT. For women, the rank order of the formulae from the one with the strongest association with age was QTcHDG, QTcFRD, QTcFRM, QTcRTha, QTcDMT and QTcBZT. It is important to emphasize that this ordering does not have any relationship to validity of QT-RR relationship but is solely based on the relationship to age.

There are several limitations of the study that require discussion. First the data are from the NHANES study. While the NHANES study is meant to be representative of the entire US population, the numbers of actual subjects are low for certain ages especially for persons above the age of 80 years. Hence, projections in the form of regression equations can show the trend from which the QTc should follow. We provided both linear and non-linear modeling to test the relationship between QTc and age. In choosing the subjects for this study, we have chosen the NHANES II and NHANES III studies for several reasons. First, each NHANES was conducted on a representative population based sample. Second, weighing factors are available, meaning that the results are more representative than random sample or volunteers in a drug trial. Third, the ECG data is systematically analyzed and interpreted to reduce the
degree uncertainty with regards to accuracy. Another issue is that the NHANES data was used to develop one of the QT-heart rate correction equations. However, the data from the other sources was much larger so that the NHANES data contributed only a relatively small proportion to the formulae. Lastly, older subjects, specifically those 85 years of age and older, are potentially a ‘biased’ group in cross sectional studies because they are likely individuals at lower risk than the 50 year olds, as demonstrated by their ability to survive to ages over 80 years.

In conclusion, increasing age is associated with greater prolongation of QTc and the relationship is stronger for men than women. Clinicians should be aware of the strength of this relationship varies somewhat according to the QT heart rate correction formula used. A significant relationship between age and QTc was demonstrated in linear and non-linear analytic models. Men show a steeper relationship between age and QTc than women which should alert clinicians in their prescription of drugs that potentially prolong QTc prolongation to older men. The variance of QTc increases with age. This finding in conjunction with the increasing mean QTc with age indicates that there are older persons than younger persons with prolonged QTc.
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Figure 1S. The proportion of men (A) and women (B) over QTc duration threshold for various threshold values for each QTc formula and for age groups 50, 60, 70 and 80 and over years of age. QT-heart rate correction formula; QTcBZT: QTc proposed by Bazett; QTcDMT: QTc proposed by Dmitrienko; QTcFRD: proposed by Fridericia; QTcFRM: QTc proposed by Framingham; QTcHDG: QTc proposed by Hodges; QTcRTHa: QTc proposed by Rautaharju.